



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2010

In reply

Bosch, M M ; Barthelmes, D ; Knecht, P ; Bloch, K E ; Hefti, U ; Landau, K

Abstract: We welcome the comments regarding our article¹ from Drs Mader and White, who have published several articles on refractive changes induced by hypoxia in subjects after refractive surgery. We value their additional account of the mechanism of the corneal changes in Dr Beck Weathers' eyes during his climb on Mount Everest that led to severe vision loss. This is an interesting amendment to our manuscript, which focuses primarily on mountaineers with healthy corneas.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/archophthalmol.2010.176>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-36704>

Journal Article

Originally published at:

Bosch, M M; Barthelmes, D; Knecht, P; Bloch, K E; Hefti, U; Landau, K (2010). In reply. Archives of Ophthalmology, 128(9):1225.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/archophthalmol.2010.176>

tified in this clinical situation. Justification for a randomized clinical trial usually includes the following⁸: (1) evidence of a significant public health problem, (2) a scientifically plausible intervention, (3) preliminary information that warrants the trial, and (4) the ability to enroll a significant number of patients, with an outcome obtained within a reasonable time frame. Regarding the criteria above, we believe a randomized clinical trial is not justifiable because (1) while intravitreal injections are common, endophthalmitis following injections may not be, (2) the intervention has not previously been proven to be scientifically plausible, (3) there is not sufficient preliminary information from endophthalmitis rates following this intervention or even other interventions such as those that occur during cataract surgery (and risks of endophthalmitis following cataract surgery would not be expected to be the same as risks following an intravitreal injection of a drug using a 30 gauge needle), and (4) enrollment for between 1.5 million and 15 million injections, depending on outcome assumptions, could take so many years that the antibiotic and intravitreal procedure being tested may no longer be relevant.

We agree that it is important to determine the risk of endophthalmitis after an intravitreal drug injection when topical antibiotics are not required either before, during, or after the injection because there is little scientific rationale to support topical antibiotic use in this situation. As Drs Ziemssen and Bartz-Schmidt state, omitting its use would avoid the cost, potential toxicity, and burden to patients following millions of intravitreal injections each year. To address this situation in the absence of randomized clinical trials, which may not be justified, we hope to continue to provide information that is of potential value related to the millions of intravitreal injections currently provided around the world for common retinal conditions.

Abdhis R. Bhavsar, MD
Cynthia R. Stockdale, MSPH
Neil M. Bressler, MD

for the Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network

Author Affiliations: Retina Center of Minnesota, Minneapolis (Dr Bhavsar); Jaeb Center for Health Research, Tampa, Florida (Ms Stockdale); and Wilmer Eye Institute, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland (Dr Bressler).

Correspondence: Ms Stockdale, Jaeb Center for Health Research, 15310 Amberly Dr, Ste 350, Tampa, FL 33647 (drcrstat3@jaeb.org).

Group Information: A published list of the Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research (DRCR) Network investigators and staff who participated in this protocol can be found in *Ophthalmology*. 2008;115(9):1447-1449, with a current list available at www.drcr.net.

Financial Disclosure: A complete list of all DRCR Network investigator financial disclosures can be found at www.drcr.net.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by a cooperative agreement from the National Eye Institute and the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, and grants EY14231, EY14229, and EY018817 from the US Department of Health and Human Services. Allergan Inc pro-

vided the triamcinolone, and Genentech Inc provided the ranibizumab. Both companies provided funds for part of the clinical care costs of the DRCR Network laser-ranibizumab-triamcinolone protocols. Allergan, Inc also has provided unrestricted funds to DRCR Network for its discretionary use. As per the DRCR Network Industry Collaboration Guidelines (www.drcr.net), the DRCR Network had complete control over the design of the protocol, ownership of the data, and all editorial content of presentations and publications related to the protocol. **Role of the Sponsors:** The funding organization participated in oversight of the conduct of the study and review of the manuscript but not directly in the design of the study, the conduct of the study, data collection, data management, data analysis, interpretation of the data, or preparation of the manuscript.

Trial Registration: clinicaltrials.gov Identifier: NCT00444600 and NCT00445003

1. Bhavsar AR, Googe JM Jr, Stockdale CR, et al; Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network. Risk of endophthalmitis after intravitreal drug injection when topical antibiotics are not required: the diabetic retinopathy clinical research network laser-ranibizumab-triamcinolone clinical trials. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2009;127(12):1581-1583.
2. Hariprasad SM, Blinder KJ, Shah GK, et al. Penetration pharmacokinetics of topically administered 0.5% moxifloxacin ophthalmic solution in human aqueous and vitreous. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2005;123(1):39-44.
3. Puustjärvi T, Teräsvirta M, Nurmenniemi P, Lökkila J, Uusitalo H. Penetration of topically applied levofloxacin 0.5% and ofloxacin 0.3% into the vitreous of the non-inflamed human eye. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2006;244(12):1633-1637.
4. Sakamoto H, Sakamoto M, Hata Y, Kubota T, Ishibashi T. Aqueous and vitreous penetration of levofloxacin after topical and/or oral administration. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2007;17(3):372-376.
5. Bhavsar AR, Ip MS, Glassman AR; DRCRnet and the SCORE Study Groups. The risk of endophthalmitis following intravitreal triamcinolone injection in the DRCRnet and SCORE clinical trials. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2007;144(3):454-456.
6. Fintak DR, Shah GK, Blinder KJ, et al. Incidence of endophthalmitis related to intravitreal injection of bevacizumab and ranibizumab. *Retina*. 2008;28(10):1395-1399.
7. Mason JO III, White MF, Feist RM, et al. Incidence of acute onset endophthalmitis following intravitreal bevacizumab (Avastin) injection. *Retina*. 2008;28(4):564-567.
8. Bressler NM. Submacular surgery: are randomized trials necessary? *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1995;113(12):1557-1560.

Corneal Thickness Changes in Very-High-Altitude Mountaineers

Bosch and colleagues¹ performed a very nice study of corneal thickness at high altitude. However, some statements may benefit from clarification. Specifically, "Besides AMS [acute mountain sickness], corneal changes during high-altitude climbs may also be a dangerous hazard owing to a potential significant decrease in visual acuity. The often-quoted experience of Dr Beck Weathers, a Mount Everest climber who had undergone radial keratotomy prior to ascent and incurred severe vision loss during the climb, is such an example." The authors correctly imply that corneal thickening plays a major role in radial keratotomy visual changes at high altitude. However, the mechanism of these changes is a bit more complicated and warrants a more precise explanation.

It is well known that any cornea thickens with exposure to hypoxia.^{2,3} However, when the normal corneal architecture is weakened by radial incisions, the hy-

poxic cornea remains clear but the incisions may allow swelling to occur in an anterior direction. This anterior elevation in the midperiphery causes central corneal flattening and a resultant hyperopic shift.^{2,3} Thus, alterations in corneal structure caused by radial keratotomy incisions, combined with increased corneal thickness, account for the induced refractive changes. Although plus lenses were required for clear vision, a climber with bilateral radial keratotomy successfully ascended Mount Everest (8 850 m).⁴ Similarly, owing to structural change from flap creation, corneas that receive Lasik and are exposed to hypoxia are thought to have a preferential central expansion, causing a slight myopic shift.⁵ This contrasts with normal and photorefractive keratectomy corneas that do not undergo refractive change with hypoxia because they thicken uniformly, thus preserving the shape of the anterior corneal surface.

Thomas H. Mader, MD
Lawrence J. White, MD

Author Affiliations: Alaska Native Medical Center, Anchorage, Alaska (Dr Mader); and Cascade Eye and Skin Centers, Puyallup, Washington (Dr White).

Correspondence: Dr Mader, Department of Ophthalmology, Alaska Native Medical Center, Anchorage, AK 99508 (thmader@anthc.org).

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

1. Bosch MM, Barthelmes D, Merz TM, et al. New insights into changes in corneal thickness in healthy mountaineers during a very-high-altitude climb to Mount Muztagh Ata. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2010;128(2):184-189.
2. Mader TH, Blanton CL, Gilbert BN, et al. Refractive changes during 72-hour exposure to high altitude after refractive surgery. *Ophthalmology*. 1996;103(8):1188-1195.
3. Winkle RK, Mader TH, Parmley VC, White LJ, Polse KA. The etiology of refractive changes at high altitude after radial keratotomy: hypoxia versus hypobaria. *Ophthalmology*. 1998;105(2):282-286.

4. Mader TH, White LJ, Johnson DS, Barth FC. The ascent of Mount Everest following radial keratotomy. *Wilderness Environ Med*. 2002;13(1):53-54.
5. Nelson ML, Brady S, Mader TH, White LJ, Parmley VC, Winkle RK. Refractive changes caused by hypoxia after laser in situ keratomileusis surgery. *Ophthalmology*. 2001;108(3):542-544.

In reply

We welcome the comments regarding our article¹ from Drs Mader and White, who have published several articles on refractive changes induced by hypoxia in subjects after refractive surgery. We value their additional account of the mechanism of the corneal changes in Dr Beck Weathers' eyes during his climb on Mount Everest that led to severe vision loss. This is an interesting amendment to our manuscript, which focuses primarily on mountaineers with healthy corneas.

Martina M. Bosch, MD
Daniel Barthelmes, MD
Pascal Knecht, MD
Konrad E. Bloch, MD
Urs Hefti, MD
Klara Landau, MD

Author Affiliations: Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich (Drs Bosch, Barthelmes, and Landau); and the Department of Surgery, Cantonal Hospital, Liestal (Dr Hefti), Switzerland.

Correspondence: Dr Bosch, Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital Zurich, Frauenklinikstrasse 24, CH-8091 Zurich, Switzerland (martina.boesch@usz.ch).

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

1. Bosch MM, Barthelmes D, Merz TM, et al. New insights into changes in corneal thickness in healthy mountaineers during a very-high-altitude climb to Mount Muztagh Ata. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2010;128(2):184-189.

Correction

Error in Table. In the Clinical Sciences article titled "Clinical Classification of Childhood Glaucomas" by Yeung and Walton, published in the June issue of the *Archives* (2010;128[6]:680-684), the Table was formatted incorrectly. In the right column, the subheading "Trabecular Meshwork Endothelialization" should not have been a subheading. It should be aligned below "Iris bombe with pupillary block" under "Angle-blockage mechanisms," and there should have been no line above it. The only two column subheadings should have been "Primary (Developmental) Glaucomas" and "Secondary (Acquired) Glaucomas."